

GATE: a simulation toolkit for emission tomography in nuclear medicine and molecular imaging

Irène Buvat¹
for the OpenGATE collaboration²

¹ U678 INSERM, Paris, France

² <http://www.opengatecollaboration.org>

Outline

- Evolution of the use of MC simulations in ET since 1995
- OpenGATE motivation and short history
- New features in MC simulators in ET
- New applications for MC simulations
- Upcoming developments in MC simulations
- Conclusion

Emission tomography in Nuclear Medicine

Non invasive techniques for assessing the in vivo distribution of a radiotracer administered to a patient



β^- emitter: Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT)

β^+ emitter: Positron Emission Tomography (PET)

Evolution of the use of MC simulations in ET since 1995


Important role in SPECT and PET, for optimizing detector design, designing and assessing acquisition and processing protocols.

- Zaidi, Relevance of accurate Monte Carlo modeling in nuclear medical imaging. *Med Phys* 26 (1999) 574-608
- Buvat and Castiglioni, Monte Carlo simulations in SPET and PET. *Q J Nucl Med* 46 (2002) 48-61



Evolution of the use of MC simulations in ET since 1995

- 666 entries since 1995 at the date of the search (July 1995)
- Use of MC simulations to produce SPECT and PET images: 130 entries



Evolution of the codes used for MC simulations in ET since 1995

1995-1999

- 14 different codes:
 - 10 « home-made »
 - 4 publicly released or available from authors

2000-2004

- 15 different codes:
 - 8 « home-made »
 - 7 publicly released or available from authors

No « standard » code for Monte Carlo simulations in SPECT and PET

Most frequently used




SimSET



SIMIND


And recently



GATE

Penelope

Most recent code for ET modeling: GATE



- Motivation in 2001: provide a public code
 - based on a standard code to ensure reliability
 - enabling SPECT and PET simulations (possibly even more)
 - accommodating almost any detector design (including prototypes)
 - modeling time-dependent processes
 - user-friendly
- Developed as a collaborative effort

The OpenGATE collaboration

From 4 to 21 labs worldwide


- Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands
- Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland
- Forschungszentrum Juelich, Germany
- Ghent University, Belgium
- National Technical University of Athens, Greece
- Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium



- U601 Inserm, Nantes, France
- U650 Inserm, Brest, France
- U678 Inserm, Paris, France
- LPC CNRS, Clermont Ferrand, France
- IReS CNRS, Strasbourg, France
- UMR5515 CNRS, CREATIS, Lyon, France
- SHFJ CEA, Orsay, France
- DAPNIA CEA, Saclay, France
- Joseph Fourier University, Grenoble, France


- John Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA
- Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, USA
- University of California, Los Angeles, USA
- University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, USA
- University of Santiago of Chile, Chile
- Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Product of OpenGATE: GATE




- Publicly released on May 2004 <http://www.opengatecollaboration.org>
- An official publication: Jan et al, Phys. Med. Biol. 49: 4543-4561, 2004.
- More than 400 subscribers to the Gate users mailing list

GATE today: technical features



- Based on GEANT 4
- Written in C++
- User-friendly: simulations can be designed and controlled using macros, without any C++ writing
- Appropriate for SPECT and PET simulations
- Flexible enough to model almost any detector designs, including prototypes
- Explicit modeling of time (hence detector motion, patient motion, radioactive decay, dead time, time of flight, tracer kinetics)
- Can handle voxelized and analytical phantoms

GATE today: practical features



- Can be freely downloaded, including the source codes
- Can be run on many platforms (Linux, Unix, MacOs)
- On-line documentation, including FAQ and archives of all questions (and often answers) about GATE that have been asked so far
- Help about the use of GATE can be obtained through the gate-user mailing list
- Many commercial tomographs and prototypes have already been modeled
- The GATE project is currently based only on volunteer participation and on the active contribution of GATE users and developers

Monte Carlo simulations today




Modeling time dependent processes


SPECT and PET intrinsically involves time:

- Change of tracer distribution over time
- Detector motions during acquisition
- Patient motion
- Radioactive decay
- Dead time of the detector
- Time-of-flight PET


GEANT 4 (hence GATE) is perfect in that regard




Santin et al, IEEE TNS 2003




No TOF



700 ps



500 ps



300 ps

^{15}O (2 min)
 ^{11}C (20 min)

Groiselle et al, IEEE MIC Conf Rec 2004

Throughput of the simulations

- High throughput needed for efficient data production


The major problem with GATE and GEANT4!

- Big “World”:
 - detectors have a “diameter” greater than 1 m
 - emitting object (e.g., patient) is large (50 cm up to 1.80 m)
 - emitting object is finely sampled (typically 1 mm x 1 mm x 1 mm cells)
 - voxelized objects are most often used
- Large number of particles to be simulated
 - low detection efficiency
 - in SPECT, typically 1 / 10 000 is detected
 - in PET, 1 / 200 is detected

Increasing the throughput of the simulations


Using acceleration methods

- Variance reduction techniques such as importance sampling (e.g. in SimSET)
→ speed-up factors between 2 and 15




- Fictitious cross-section (or delta scattering)

Combining MC and non MC modeling





Song et al, Phys Med Biol 2005



increase in efficiency > 100

Parallel execution of the code




Thomason et al, Comp Methods Programs Biomed 2004

Modeling original detector designs

GEANT 4 is a very flexible tool


Non-conventional geometries





Spherical geometry of the Hi-Rez PET scanner

Lazaro et al, SNM 2005


Prototypes



IASA CsI(Tl) gamma camera




Energy spectrum




Lazaro et al, Phys Med Biol 2004






New applications for Monte Carlo simulations

1995-1999




2000-2004



-  Design and assessment of correction and reconstruction methods
-  Study of an imaging system response
-  Data production for evaluation purpose
-  Use in the very imaging process
-  Description and validation of a code

Using Monte Carlo simulations for calculating the system matrix



GATE is very appropriate but slow

$$p = R f$$

$R(i,j)$: probability that a photon emitted in voxel j be detected in pixel i

Calculating R using Monte Carlo simulations:

- for non conventional imaging design (small animal)
- to account for fully 3D and patient-specific phenomena difficult to model analytically (mostly scatter)

Using Monte Carlo for feeding database

<http://www.ibfm.cnr.it/mcet/index.html>

<http://sorteo.cermep.fr>

The MC-ET database

#	Description of study	Scanner	Available Data	Total events
▶ 1	18F FDG Brain study: normal subject	GE-Advance	Sinograms	3318047
▶ 2	18F FDG thorax study: thyroid tumour with metastases in the abdomen	GE-Advance	Sinograms	1210779
▶ 3	18F NEMA uniform cylinder: 20x18 cm	GE-Advance	Sinograms	4500951
▶ 4	18F hot sphere cylinder: 20x14 cm	GE-Advance	Sinograms	4814214
▶ 5	18F NEMA 8 cm off-centered line source in water	GE-Advance	Sinograms	2138901
▶ 6	18F uniform cylinder: 14x75 cm	ADAC-CPET	Sinograms	2144551
▶ 7	18F uniform cylinder: 35x75 cm	ADAC-CPET	Sinograms	97956
▶ 8	18F NEMA uniform cylinder: 20x18 cm	ADAC-CPET	Sinograms	19742
▶ 9	18F NEMA 20 cm off-centered line source in air	CPS-HR+	Sinograms	96010
▶ 10	18F NEMA centered line source in air	CPS-HR+	Sinograms	78994
▶ 11	18F NEMA centered line source in water	CPS-HR+	Sinograms	207690
▶ 12	18F NEMA 8 cm off-centered line source in water	CPS-HR+	Sinograms	293841
▶ 13	18F NEMA uniform cylinder: NEMA 20x18 cm	CPS-HR+	Sinograms	284759
▶ 14	18F Zubal phantom: thorax	CPS-HR+	Sinograms, images	1945948
▶ 15	18F Zubal phantom: abdomen with lesions	CPS-HR+	Sinograms, images	2250675
▶ 16	18F FDG oncological patient without attenuation: liver with lesions (lesions to background 3:1)	CPS-HR+	Sinograms, images	22186058
▶ 17	18F FDG oncological patient :liver with lesions (lesions to background 3:1)	CPS-HR+	Sinograms, images	18026320
▶ 18	18F FDG oncological patient without attenuation: liver with lesions (lesions to background 4:1)	CPS-HR+	Sinograms, images	22787362
▶ 19	99mTc NEMA centered line source in air	ELSCINT Helix dual-head	Projections	507285
▶ 20	99mTc NEMA off-centered line source in air	ELSCINT Helix dual-head	Projections	516296

Downloads [[buvat0](#)]

Jacob
Zubal
Patient 01
Patient 02
Patient 03
Patient 04
Patient 05
Patient 06
Patient 07
Patient 08
Patient 09
Patient 10
Patient 11
Patient 12
Patient 13
Patient 14
Patient 15

MRI

Labels

[18F]FDG PET Images [18F]FDG PET Sino

[18F]DOPA PET Images [18F]DOPA PET Sino

[11C]Raclopride PET Images [11C]Raclopride PET Sino

Transmission Sino

Common : [Blank](#)

Common : [Normalization](#)


[Terms of use](#)

GATE is very appropriate but slow

What next?



Bridging the gap between MC modeling in imaging and dosimetry




The validity of the physics at low energy will have to be checked
Problems in G4 have been identified, e.g., multiple scattering and corresponding energy deposit calculation

Modeling hybrid machines (PET/CT, SPECT/CT, OPET)

PET/CT




SPECT/CT



*Brasse et al, IEEE MIC Conf
Rec 2004*

OPET



*Alexandrakis et al, Phys Med
Biol 2005*

Integrating Monte Carlo modeling tools for:


- common coordinate system
- common object description
- consistent sampling
- convenient assessment of multimodality imaging

Not started yet in GATE

Designing realistic phantoms


Interfacing realistic phantoms with simulator input

NCAT




Segars et al, IEEE TNS 2001

MOBY



Respiration







Segars et al, Mol Imaging Biol 2004

Making it easier to model a wide range of body shape and physiological motions

Making GATE handle the description of object using splines

Conclusion

- GATE is a very relevant tool for Monte Carlo simulations in ET



- Simulations will be more and more present in (nuclear) medical imaging in the future:
 - as a invaluable guide for designing imaging protocols and interpreting SPECT and PET scans,
 - in the very imaging process of a patient

Last but not least



GATE training course 16-17 April 2006 in Clermont-Ferrand, France

Check <http://www.opengatecollaboration.org> for updates